Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report
Community Confinement Facilities

☐ Interim  ☒ Final

Date of Report  December 20, 2017

Auditor Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Jo Denison</td>
<td><a href="mailto:denisobj@sbcglobal.net">denisobj@sbcglobal.net</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shamrock Consulting, LLC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mailing Address</th>
<th>City, State, Zip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2617 Xavier Ave.</td>
<td>McAllen, TX 78504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telephone</th>
<th>Date of Facility Visit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>956-566-2578</td>
<td>November 6-7, 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agency Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Agency</th>
<th>Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoreCivic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Address</th>
<th>City, State, Zip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 Burton Hills Blvd.</td>
<td>Nashville, TN 37215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mailing Address</th>
<th>City, State, Zip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAA</td>
<td>SAA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telephone</th>
<th>Is Agency accredited by any organization?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>615-263-3000</td>
<td>☐ Yes ☒ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Agency Is</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒ Private for Profit</td>
<td>☐ Private not for Profit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Military</td>
<td>☐ County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ State</td>
<td>☐ Federal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agency mission: Agency Website with PREA Information: We help government better the public good through: CoreCivic Safety — We operate safe, secure facilities that provide high quality services and effective reentry programs that enhance public safety. CoreCivic Community - We deliver proven and innovative practices in settings that help people obtain employment, successfully reintegrate into society and keep communities safe. CoreCivic Properties - We offer innovative and flexible real estate solutions that provide value to government and the people they serve.

Agency Website with PREA Information: http://www.corecivic.com/security-operations/prea

Agency Chief Executive Officer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Damon Hininger</td>
<td>President and Chief Executive Officer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:damon.hininger@corecivic.com">damon.hininger@corecivic.com</a></td>
<td>615-263-3301</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: Eric Pierson</th>
<th>Title: Sr. Director, PREA Programs and Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:eric.pierson@corecivic.com">eric.pierson@corecivic.com</a></td>
<td>Telephone: 615-263-6915</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PREA Coordinator Reports to:</th>
<th>Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA Coordinator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Robinson, Vice President, Correctional Programs</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Facility Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Facility:</th>
<th>Fort Worth Transitional Center</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Address:</td>
<td>600 N. Henderson St., Fort Worth, TX 76107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address (if different than above):</td>
<td>SAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Number:</td>
<td>817-335-6053</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- ☒ Private for Profit
- ☐ Private not for Profit
- ☐ Military
- ☐ County
- ☐ State
- ☐ Federal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type:</th>
<th>Facility Mission:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Community treatment center</td>
<td>We help government better the public good through: CoreCivic Safety — We operate safe, secure facilities that provide high quality services and effective reentry programs that enhance public safety. CoreCivic Community - We deliver proven and innovative practices in settings that help people obtain employment, successfully reintegrate into society and keep communities safe. CoreCivic Properties - We offer innovative and flexible real estate solutions that provide value to government and the people they serve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ Halfway house</td>
<td>☐ Restitution center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Mental health facility</td>
<td>☐ Alcohol or drug rehabilitation center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Other community correctional facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Facility Website with PREA Information: | http://www.corecivic.com/security-operations/prea |

| Have there been any internal or external audits of and/or accreditations by any other organization? | ☐ Yes ☒ No |

**Director**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: Loy Serrano</th>
<th>Title: Administrator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:loy.serrano@corecivic.com">loy.serrano@corecivic.com</a></td>
<td>Telephone: 817-335-6053</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Facility PREA Compliance Manager**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: Carla Jackson</th>
<th>Title: Assistant Facility Administrator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:carla.jackson@corecivic.com">carla.jackson@corecivic.com</a></td>
<td>Telephone: 817-335-6053</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Facility Health Service Administrator**
## Facility Characteristics

**Designated Facility Capacity:** 249  
**Current Population of Facility:** 223

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months</td>
<td>1418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months who were transferred from a different community confinement facility</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more</td>
<td>1059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of residents on date of audit who were admitted to facility prior to August 20, 2012</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Age Range of Population:**  
- □ Adults: 19-74  
- ☒ Juveniles: N/A  
- ☐ Youthful residents: N/A

**Average length of stay or time under supervision:** 180 Days

**Facility Security Level:** Community  
**Resident Custody Levels:** Community

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with residents</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with residents</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with residents</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Physical Plant

**Number of Buildings:** 3  
**Number of Single Cell Housing Units:** 0  
**Number of Multiple Occupancy Cell Housing Units:** 48  
**Number of Open Bay/Dorm Housing Units:** 0

**Description of any video or electronic monitoring technology (including any relevant information about where cameras are placed, where the control room is, retention of video, etc.):**

There are 14 cameras located throughout the facility.

## Medical

**Type of Medical Facility:** N/A  
**Forensic sexual assault medical exams are conducted at:** John Peter Smith Hospital

## Other
### Audit Findings

#### Audit Narrative

The PREA on-site audit of the Fort Worth Transitional Center was conducted November 8-9, 2017, by this Department of Justice Certified PREA Auditor, Barbara Jo Denison. Pre-audit preparation included a thorough review of all policies, procedures, training curriculums, Pre-Audit Questionnaire and supporting PREA-related documentation provided by the facility to demonstrate compliance to the PREA standards. Carla Jackson, Assistant Facility Administrator, who is designated as the facility’s PREA Compliance Manager, answered questions during this review period.

On the first day of the audit, a brief entrance meeting was held with Loy Serrano, Facility Administrator; Carla Jackson, Assistant Facility Administrator; Fay Anderson, Compliance Manager; Leslie Faulkner, Monitor III and Thomas Hopper, Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) Contract Monitor in attendance. Due to inclement weather, the tour of the facility was postponed until the second day of the audit.

During the tour, the location of cameras, room layout including shower/toilet areas and placement of PREA posters and information was observed. PREA posters in both English and Spanish were posted throughout the facility in common areas and PREA information was found in each resident room. It was noted that in a majoring of the resident rooms lockers were in areas of the room that obstructed the visibility of entry to the restrooms. It was recommended that lockers in these rooms be moved to allow staff when entering resident rooms to have a better view of the entire room. The facility agreed with this recommendation and made plans to relocate lockers in these rooms. Also, noted was the lack of cameras in the back of the open recreation area on the lower level. There are cameras in the front of this area, but they do not provide coverage to the further area. The facility shared that additional cameras for this area and cameras for the male laundry room in the lower level have been added to the Cap-Ex budget for 2018 and are awaiting FSC approval.

There is a pay telephone for female residents’ use in a small outdoor alcove on the upper level and many residents have their own cell phones. PREA reporting information is posted in this area. The toll-free number (1800-535-0283) was dialed on this telephone and found to be accessible. This number is the TDCJ agency toll-free line. Residents calling this number to report allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are transferred to the TDCJ Ombudsman Office and from that office are transferred to the PREA Ombudsman Office where their allegation is received. Residents can also call 936-437-2133 to call directly to the PREA Ombudsman Office. In the ramp area going to the lower level there are five pay telephones for male residents’ use with PREA reporting information posted.

The Fort Worth Transitional Center has attempted to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the John Peter Smith Hospital. Those attempts have been unsuccessful and are ongoing. The SANE Coordinator from the John Peter Smith Hospital was contacted prior to the on-site visit. She reported that when a resident victim of sexual abuse is transported to the John Peter Smith Hospital, SANE nurses are either on-site or on call to perform an exam. Due to better advances in science for DNA collection, the national standard for DNA collection is now 120 hours after a sexual assault. A victim advocate from the

---

| Number of volunteers and individual contractors, who may have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 1 |
| Number of investigators the agency currently employs to investigate allegations of sexual abuse: | 3 |
Women’s Center of Tarrant County would be dispatched and accompany the victim through the forensic exam upon the victim’s request. The victim would be provided prophylactic treatment for STD’s and pregnancy testing if applicable.

The Assistant Director of Crisis Services of the Women’s Center of Tarrant County was contacted to confirm and review the MOU that the facility has with the agency. She shared that in the event of the sexual abuse of a resident of the Fort Worth Transitional Center, a victim advocate from the Women’s Center of Tarrant County would be dispatched to the John Peter Smith Hospital to accompany and support the resident victim through the forensic exam upon their request. All advocates are trained and certified by the Office of the Attorney General with recertification every two years. Two weeks after the SANE exam, the advocate will contact the victim to inform them of the follow-up services that the Women’s Center of Tarrant County offers. These services include support during investigative interviews, information and referrals. All services offered are confidential and at no cost to the victim.

The facility has attempted to enter into an MOU with the Fort Worth Police Department who are responsible for conducting criminal investigations of allegations of sexual abuse. The Sergeant of the Sex Crimes Unit of the Fort Worth Police Department was contacted. He reported that if called for an allegation of sexual abuse from the Fort Worth Transitional Center, an officer would be dispatched to the facility to meet with the victim and gather any suspect or witnesses names, details of the incident and any video that can be secured. The victim would be referred to the John Peter Smith Hospital for a forensic exam. If the incident appears to be criminal, an investigator from the Sex Crimes Unit would be assigned to the case. After an investigation by the assigned investigator, if there is enough evidence, a warrant would be issued for the perpetrator and evidence would be submitted to the District Attorney for possible prosecution.

The population on the first day of audit was 223. That number included 33 residents who were evacuees from the Houston area who were transferred to the Fort Worth Transitional Center following flooding due to Hurricane Harvey in early September and 190 residents assigned to the facility. During the tour, I spoke informally to residents questioning them about their overall knowledge of the agency’s zero-tolerance policy and methods of reporting. Thirty-one residents were randomly selected to be interviewed during the course of the audit. This number included three evacuees. Of the 31 residents interviewed, two residents were assessed at initial PREA screening to be victims, four potential predators, one a potential victim, one a potential victim/potential predator and one a victim/potential predator. One resident had physical disabilities, one had alleged sexual abuse and two had self-disclosed at initial screening of being transgender. There were no residents housed at the facility that were identified as being deaf, hard of hearing, blind, had low vision, had low reading skills or had cognitive disabilities. There were no residents who self-disclosed being lesbian, gay, bisexual or intersex and none that were limited English proficient.

All residents interviewed acknowledged receiving PREA training with written information during the intake process and viewing the PREA video. They were familiar with the agency/facility’s zero-tolerance policy against sexual abuse and sexual harassment and were able to articulate during interview the methods of reporting allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment available to them. Residents knew where to find information PREA information and shared that they feel safe from sexual abuse at this facility.

Twenty random resident files were reviewed for compliance with screening procedures and receipt of PREA education. Records showed that initial and 30-day screenings are being completed as required. Documentation of PREA information at intake and as part of the orientation process were included in the resident files.

Twenty-one staff were selected to be interviewed. Of the staff members interviewed, six were security staff and the remaining 15 were specialized staff. One religious volunteer was interviewed by telephone. Staff who had multiple roles were asked questions as they relate to the responsibilities of each of those roles as
well as the random staff interview questions. The agency’s PREA Coordinator and the Executive Vice President and Chief Corrections Officer (agency head designee) were both interviewed at an earlier date by telephone. Staff confirmed receiving PREA refresher training annually and reviewing PREA policies and procedures during staff meetings. They confirmed receiving training on cross-gender pat searches and searches of transgender and intersex residents. Staff was knowledgeable of their responsibilities of detecting, preventing and responding to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. They knew how to respond if they learned that a resident was in imminent danger of sexual abuse.

I reviewed random personnel files of 17 staff members and one volunteer to determine compliance with background check procedures. Files included promotions, transfers and new hires in the past 12 months and files of those employed for less than five years and greater than five years. All files reviewed were well organized and contained required 14-2 CC-H, Self-Declaration forms completed as a new employee, annually and when being considered for promotions. Also contained in the personnel files are the 14-2 CC-A Policy Acknowledgement forms acknowledging review and understanding of the agency’s 14-2 CC policy.

The training records of the same 17 staff members and the one volunteer were reviewed for compliance to PREA training requirements. All files were complete showing documentation of PREA training at pre-service and annually as part of in-service training.

The Facility Administrator, the Assistant Facility Administrator and the QA Manager are trained facility investigators. The Fort Worth Police Department is responsible for conducting criminal investigations of sexual abuse. In the past 12 months, there were five allegations of resident-on-resident sexual abuse reported. All allegations were forwarded to the Fort Worth Police Department. Three allegations were determined by the Fort Worth Police Department to be unsubstantiated, one unfounded and one is pending disposition. Investigative files were reviewed and found to be complete.

At the conclusion of the on-site audit, an exit meeting was held to discuss the audit findings with Carla Jackson, Assistant Facility Administrator; Fay Anderson, QA Compliance Manager; Frances Clark, Operations Supervisor and Thomas Hopper, TDCJ Contract Monitor in attendance. During the exit meeting, the facility was informed of the process that would follow the on-site visit. The team was complimented on the excellent training they are providing to residents and staff. The team was complimented on their cooperation prior to the audit and during the on-site visit and their willingness to achieve PREA compliance.

Facility Characteristics

The Fort Worth Transitional Center is located at 600 N. Henderson St., Fort Worth, Texas. The facility at one time was a motel until being purchased by Esmore Corrections and renovated into a community confinement facility. Ownership and operation of the Fort Worth Transitional Center changed hands a couple of times and in October 2016 CoreCivic purchased the Fort Worth Transitional Center from Avalon. CoreCivic contracts with the Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) for the confinement of felony offenders. Most of the residents are transitioning from jail or prison into the community and are able to leave the facility to seek employment. The Fort Worth Transitional Center provides residents with resources and tools needed to successfully transition back into the community. Employment readiness and placement, case management and reintegration programs prepare residents for release into the community.

The Fort Worth Transitional Center consists of three buildings covering 28, 215 square feet with 48 multiple occupancy rooms and three buildings. The facility has an upper and lower level. In the upper level, there is
a Main Control building. Visitors and residents sign in and out of the facility in Main Control. Residents are pat searched in Main Control in view of a camera.

On the upper level, there are five female rooms (Rooms 106-110) and six male rooms (Rooms 111-116). The remaining rooms are on the lower level and house male residents (Rooms 127-164). During the on-site visit rooms 108, 109, 148 and 163 were closed for renovations. The Houston evacuees were housed in rooms 151-156. Each resident room has four or five bunks, lockers, a television and a restroom with a solid door. Signs on each resident room reminds staff to make opposite gender announcements.

On the upper level, there are administrative offices, a conference room, case management offices and an office for the Employment Specialist. There is a small kitchen with a serving line and two dining rooms. Food services are contracted by Five Star Food Services. There is a female day room with a television, vending machines, a microwave, coffee pot and a table. There is a female pay telephone in a small alcove with PREA and TDCJ information.

A ramp leads to the lower level where there are five pay telephones for male residents’ use. There is a laundry room on the left-hand side at the end of the ramp with five washers and five dryers and a staff control room to the right. There is a male day room has a television, vending machines, a microwave, coffee pot and tables. Male resident rooms are around the perimeter of the lower level with a recreation area in the center.

The Fort Worth Transitional Center has 26 employees and one volunteer. Currently there are vacancies for two Case Managers, two Monitor II’s and four Monitor I’s. Security monitors conduct security checks every hour and there are six counts in a 24-hour period. The facility has 14 cameras that are monitored from Main Control.

### Summary of Audit Findings

The Fort Worth Transitional Center was found to exceed in six standards. They were found to meet all the requirements of the remaining standards. The audit findings are as follows:

**Number of Standards Exceeded:** 6

The facility was found to exceed in the requirements of the following standards: 115.211; 115.217; 115.231; 115.241; 115.251; 115.288.

**Number of Standards Met:** 35

The facility was found to meet the requirements of the following standards: 115.212; 115.213; 115.215; 115.216; 115.218; 115.221; 115.222; 115.232; 115.233; 115.234; 115.235; 115.242; 115.252; 115.253; 115.254; 115.261; 115.262; 115.263; 115.264; 115.265; 115.266; 115.267; 115.271; 115.272; 115.273; 115.276; 115.277; 115.278; 115.282; 115.283; 115.286; 115.287; 115.289; 115.401 and 115.403.

**Number of Standards Not Met:** 0

There were no standards that did not meet the requirements of the standard.
**PREVENTION PLANNING**

### Standard 115.211: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by The Auditor to Complete the Report**

#### 115.211 (a)

- Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the written policy outline the agency’s approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

#### 115.211 (b)

- Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☒ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

- ☐ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

CoreCivic policy 14-2 CC was used to verify compliance to this standard, along with interview of the agency’s PREA Coordinator and the facility’s PREA Compliance Manager.

CoreCivic has written policies and procedures mandating zero tolerance for all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment as stated on page 1, section 14-2 CC.1, paragraph 2. The policy outlines the agency’s approach to preventing, detecting and responding to such conduct. The policy includes definitions of prohibited behaviors and sanctions for those found to participate in these prohibited behaviors. Upon review of policy 14-2 CC, it was found to be very comprehensive and includes a thorough description of the
agency’s approach to reduce and prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents, exceeding in the requirement of this standard.

CoreCivic employs an upper-level agency-wide PREA Coordinator and a facility PREA Compliance Manager. The Assistant Facility Administrator is designated as the PREA Compliance Manager. Page 2 of policy 14-2 CC outlines the responsibilities of the PREA Coordinator and the PREA Compliance Manager. In interview with the agency’s PREA Coordinator on 3/2/17, and the facility’s PREA Compliance Manager during the on-site audit, both stated that they have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility’s efforts to comply with the PREA standards as required.

**Standard 115.212: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.212 (a)

- If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity’s obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.)  
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

115.212 (b)

- Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents OR the response to 115.212(a)-1 is "NO").  
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

115.212 (c)

- If the agency has entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards, did the agency do so only in emergency circumstances after making all reasonable attempts to find a PREA compliant private agency or other entity to confine residents? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.)  
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- In such a case, does the agency document its unsuccessful attempts to find an entity in compliance with the standards? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.)  
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☒ Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- ☒ Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
CoreCivic is a private provider and does not contract with other agencies for the confinement of its residents.

Standard 115.213: Supervision and monitoring

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.213 (a)

- Does the agency develop for each facility a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency document for each facility a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration the physical layout of each facility in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration the composition of the resident population in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration any other relevant factors in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.213 (b)

- In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.213 (c)

- In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ☒ Yes ☐ No
• In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to prevailing staffing patterns? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the facility’s deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Based on policy 14-2 CC, pages 9 & 10, section D, the agency has developed and documented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and uses video monitoring to protect residents against sexual abuse. The agency took into consideration the physical layout of the facility, the composition of the recent population, the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse and the resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels. The established staffing plan allocates 15 mandated PREA positions. Since the last PREA audit, the average daily population totaled 189 residents.

The facility makes its best efforts to comply with the approved PREA Staffing Plan. The Shift Supervisor is responsible for reviewing the PREA Staffing Plan in conjunction with the daily shift rosters. Based on documentation provided and upon interview with the Facility Administrator, in the past 12 months, there were no times that there were deviations to the staffing plan. The Facility Administrator reviews the shift roster daily to ensure compliance to the staffing plan. Vacated positions and call-ins are covered with the use of overtime.

The staffing plan is reviewed annually by the PREA Compliance Manager in conjunction with the PREA Coordinator and documented on the 14-2 CC-I Annual PREA Staffing Plan Assessment. Upon completion, the 14-2 CC-I is forwarded to the PREA Coordinator for signature and approval of any recommendations made to the established staffing plan to include the deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies or the allocations of additional resources to maintain compliance to the plan. The 2017 Annual PREA Staffing Plan Assessment was completed on 2/22/17 noted no changes to the current staffing plan. It was noted that since the 2016 staffing assessment five Monitor I positions were added to the PREA staffing levels.

Standard 115.215: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.215 (a)

 Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.215 (b)

 Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if less than 50 residents)
  ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

 Does the facility always refrain from restricting female residents’ access to regularly available programming or other outside opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if less than 50 residents) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.215 (c)

 Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? ☒ Yes ☐ No

 Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.215 (d)

 Does the facility implement policies and procedures that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? ☒ Yes ☐ No

 Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.215 (e)

 Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident’s genital status? ☒ Yes ☐ No

 If a resident’s genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.215 (f)

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐  Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒  Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐  Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Based on review of policy 14-2 CC, pages 14 & 15, section K, and documentation provided for review, the facility does not conduct cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches except in exigent circumstances or when performed by medical practitioners. If exigent circumstances required staff to perform a cross-gender strip search or visual body cavity search, the search would be documented on the PREA Exigent Circumstance Log. Staff are not to search or physically examine a transgender or intersex resident for the sole purpose of determining the resident’s genital status.

In addition to general PREA training, staff receive training on how to conduct cross-gender pat-down searches and searches of transgender and intersex residents as verified in review of the PREA/Searches Training for Transfers curriculum. Staff sign a Training Acknowledgement/Enrollment/Attendance Roster (4-2A) upon completion of this training. Receipt of this training was verified through interviews with staff and in review of staff training records. Pat searches are performed in Main Control in view of a camera and documented on a Pat Search Log. Daily Pat Search Logs are retained for seven years. In the past 12 months, there were no cross-gender strip searches or cavity searches performed.

The agency has policies and procedures in place that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing without staff viewing their breast, buttocks or genitalia. Policy 14-2 CC, page 15, section 5, requires staff of the opposite gender announce their presence when they enter resident housing and restroom areas. This practice was observed while on-site at the facility and residents interviewed confirmed that this practice is being followed. Signs on the doors of all resident rooms remind opposite gender staff to announce their presence before they enter resident rooms. Residents shared that they feel they have privacy to shower, toilet and change clothing when staff of the opposite gender are in their housing area.

Transgender and intersex residents are given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents. At the time of the audit, there were two transgender residents housed at the Fort Worth Transitional Center. Due to an individual shower in each resident room with a shower curtain and a solid door on the entrance to the restroom, when interviewed, both residents reporting that they have privacy while showering.
Standard 115.216: Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.216 (a)

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have psychiatric disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have speech disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other? (if “other,” please explain in overall determination notes.) ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Are blind or have low vision? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.216 (b)

Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.216 (c)

Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident’s safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.264, or the investigation of the resident’s allegations? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐  Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

☒  Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

☐  Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Based on review of policy 14-2 CC, page 14, section I, residents are provided education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf or hard of hearing, blind or have low vision, or otherwise disabled, as well as residents who have limited reading skills. Residents view a PREA: What You Need To Know video within 72 hours of arrival to the facility that is available in both English and Spanish. Residents are given the Preventing Sexual Abuse and Misconduct (14-2CC-AA) brochure. PREA information posted throughout the facility is in both English and Spanish. Staff who are bilingual are used for translation and ATT Interpreter Services are utilized as well. A TTY is available for deaf residents.

The agency prohibits use of resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances. In the past 12 months, there have been no instances where residents were used for this purpose.
At the time of the audit, there were no residents who were blind, with low vision, deaf, hard of hearing, or with limited reading skills or cognitive disabilities housed at the facility. There were also no residents who were limited English proficient.

**Standard 115.217: Hiring and promotion decisions**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.217 (a)**

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.217 (b)**

- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.217 (c)**

- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.217 (d)

- Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.217 (e)

- Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.217 (f)

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.217 (g)

- Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.217 (h)

- Unless prohibited by law, does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☒ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
☐ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Review of CoreCivic policy 14-2 CC, pages 5 & 6, section B, in discussion with the Human Resource Manager and random review of employee personnel files were used to verify compliance to this standard.

Per policy 14-2 CC, pages 5 & 6, section B, the agency prohibits hiring or promoting anyone who may have contact with residents and prohibits enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who have engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement, juvenile facility or other institution. It also prohibits hiring or promoting anyone who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community or who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in these activities.

CoreCivic considers any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents. The agency requires that all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents have a criminal background check. NCIC/TCIC criminal background checks are conducted by the Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) on all applicants, contractors and volunteers. An effort is made to contact all prior institutional employers by telephone for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassments or any resignations during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Agency policy requires that criminal background checks be completed on any contractor who may have contact with residents. CoreCivic requires that criminal background checks be conducted every five years on current employees and contractors who have contact with residents. Due to a Flash Reporting system in the state of Texas, criminal background checks are not required every five years. The fingerprints of employees and contractors are submitted and kept on file with the Department of Public Safety (DPS). DPS notifies TDCJ any time there is an arrest of an employee or contractor eliminating the need for additional criminal background checks after the initial NCIC/TCIC check. The TDCJ Volunteer Service Program conducts volunteer criminal backgrounds checks.

All applicants, employees, contractors and volunteers are asked about previous misconduct as stated in section 115.217 (a). The 14-2 CC-H, *Self-Declaration of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment* form is completed as part of the hiring process and as part of the promotional process.

CoreCivic policy mandates that material omissions regarding sexual misconduct and the provision of materially giving false information are grounds for termination as required by this standard. Employees have a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any sexual misconduct.

In review of the Human Resource files of 18 employees and one volunteer, initial criminal background checks are being completed per agency policy and standard requirements. The records were in excellent order and complete with all required documentation. The facility was found to exceed in the requirements of this standard due to the use of the Flash Reporting system, which allows for continuous updates on arrests of employees and contractors.

**Standard 115.218: Upgrades to facilities and technologies**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.218 (a)
115.218 (b)

If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency’s ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
☒ Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Based on policy 14-2 CC, page 31, section V, when designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, CoreCivic will consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion or modification on the ability to protect residents from sexual abuse. The Fort Worth Transitional Center has not acquired any new facilities or made any substantial expansions or modifications to the existing facility since the last PREA audit.

When installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system or other monitoring technology, CoreCivic will consider how such technology may enhance the ability to protect residents from sexual abuse. The Fort Worth Transitional Center has not installed or updated any video monitoring systems or electronic surveillance systems since the last PREA audit.

In interview with the Executive Vice President and Chief Corrections Officer on 10/4/16, he explained what the agency would consider for planning for new construction or making modifications to existing facilities, which would include careful consideration to the use of monitoring technology. In interview with the Facility Administrator, he reported that more cameras have been requested.

RESPONSIVE PLANNING

Standard 115.221: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.221 (a)

▪ If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.221 (b)

▪ Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

▪ Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice’s Office on Violence Against Women publication, “A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents,” or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.221 (c)

▪ Does the agency offer all residents who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

▪ Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

▪ If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

▪ Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.221 (d)

▪ Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? ☒ Yes ☐ No

▪ If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? ☒ Yes ☐ No

▪ Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.221 (e)

- As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.221 (f)

- If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating entity follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.221 (g)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.221 (h)

- If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (Check N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.221(d) above.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Based on policy 14-2 CC, pages 22 & 23, section O - 4, CoreCivic and the Fort Worth Transitional Center are responsible for conducting administrative sexual abuse investigations on both resident-on-resident and staff sexual misconduct. There are three trained facility investigators responsible for conducting administrative investigations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Fort Worth Police Department is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. All reports of sexual abuse that appear to be criminal are referred to the Fort Worth Police Department who follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence and fulfill all requirements of this standard. In the past 12 months, there was one allegation that was referred to the Fort Worth Police Department for criminal investigation, which is still pending disposition. The facility’s attempts to enter into an MOU with the Fort Worth Police Department have been unsuccessful. The facility continues in those efforts.
The facility does not house youth, therefore element (b) of this standard is not applicable to this facility.

Victims of sexual abuse have access to forensic medical examinations. Residents in need of forensic exams are referred to the John Peter Smith Hospital. The facility is attempting to enter into an MOU with the John Peter Smith Hospital. In the past 12 months, there were no referrals of residents for SANE exams.

The facility has an MOU with the Women Center of Tarrant County entered into on 3/10/16. The facility has a verbal agreement with the agency to provide resident victims of sexual abuse with a 24-hour reporting hot line, emotional support and crisis intervention services, victim and court advocacy services and referrals and resources for follow-up services.

**Standard 115.222: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.222 (a)

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.222 (b)

- Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency document all such referrals? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.222 (c)

- If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does such publication describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? [N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. See 115.221(a).]
  ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.222 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.222 (e)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Policy 14-2 CC, pages 21-23, section O, outlines the agency’s policy and procedures for investigating and documenting incidents of sexual abuse. The agency/facility ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation be completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The facility investigators are responsible for conducting administrative investigations of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Allegations that appear to be criminal are referred to the Fort Worth Police Department who decides to conduct a criminal investigation and prosecution if warranted or refer allegations back to the facility for administrative investigation. The agency documents all referrals of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for criminal investigation. In the past 12 months, one allegation received was referred to the Fort Worth Police Department for criminal investigation.

The agency’s policy regarding the referral of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for criminal investigations is published on the CoreCivic website *(http://www.corecivic.com/security-operations/prea)*.

In interview with the facility investigators, they were knowledgeable of their responsibilities of referring allegations that appear to be criminal to the Fort Worth Police Department.

**TRAINING AND EDUCATION**

**Standard 115.231: Employee training**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.231 (a)

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents’ right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in juvenile facilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.231 (b)**

- Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee’s facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.231 (c)**

- Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency’s current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.231 (d)**

- Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☒ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
CoreCivic employees receive training on CoreCivic’s zero-tolerance policy (14-2 CC) for sexual abuse and sexual harassment at pre-service and annually at in-service. The agency's requirement of this training is found on pages 6 & 7, section C-1-a, of the policy. Between trainings, the facility has staff meetings and staff recalls where the policy is reviewed and staff is informed of policy changes. The CoreCivic Overview of PREA training curriculum, which include training on the limits of cross gender viewing and searches, was reviewed and found to contain all elements of 115.231 (a) as required by this standard. The training is tailored to the gender of the residents at the facility. Employees sign a Training Activity Enrollment/Attendance Roster (4-2A) acknowledging that they received and understood the training they received and a Training Acknowledgement form. Documentation of annual PREA training for employees is maintained by the facility. The Regional Training and Compliance Manager has an office at the Dallas Transitional Center and is responsible for staff training for the Dallas Transitional Center and the Fort Worth Transitional Center.

In the past 12 months, all employees of the Fort Worth Transitional Center have received this training. Adherence to employee training requirement was confirmed by the review of random employee training files. In interview with staff, they were able to confirm receiving this training and knew their responsibilities for preventing, detecting, responding and reporting allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The CoreCivic Overview of PREA training curriculum reviewed was very thorough and staff was extremely knowledgeable which revealed that the facility has not only met, but also exceeded the requirements of this standard.

### Standard 115.232: Volunteer and contractor training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.232 (a)

- Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.232 (b)

- Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.232 (c)
• Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

CoreCivic policy 14-2 CC, page 8, section 2, outlines the training requirements for volunteers and contractors. The objectives of the training ensure that volunteers and contractors are notified of the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and are informed on how to report such incidents. The Fort Worth Transitional Center has one volunteer who received volunteer training through the TDCJ Volunteer Program Services. The volunteer training curriculum was provided for review and found to contain the requirements of section 115.232 (b)-2 of this standard. TDCJ Volunteer Services Program maintains documentation of completion of this training. Volunteers sign a Policy Acknowledgement form (14-2CC-A) acknowledging reviewing and understanding the contents of policy 14-2 CC.

**Standard 115.233: Resident education**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.233 (a)**

• During intake, do residents receive information explaining: The agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• During intake, do residents receive information explaining: How to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• During intake, do residents receive information regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.233 (b)**

• Does the agency provide refresher information whenever a resident is transferred to a different facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.233 (c)

- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are limited English proficient? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are deaf? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are visually impaired? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are otherwise disabled? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Have limited reading skills? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.233 (d)

- Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.233 (e)

- In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Based on CoreCivic policy 14-2 CC, pages 13 & 14, section I, all residents receive information at time of intake about the zero-tolerance policy and how to report incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents and are informed of the agency policy and procedures for responding to such incidents.

At intake residents are given a Client Handbook, a CoreCivic Preventing Sexual Abuse and Misconduct brochure and a TDCJ PREA Ombudsman Office brochure, all available in English and Spanish. A PREA: What You Need to Know video is viewed by residents within 72 hours of arrival to the facility is available in both English and Spanish. Staff translators or ATT Interpreter Services are utilized to relay PREA information to limited English proficient residents. Residents acknowledge by their signature on a PREA
Acknowledgement form that they have viewed the PREA video and received and understood the PREA information presented to them. This documentation, maintained in resident files, was reviewed with the QA Compliance Manager.

Ongoing information is provided continuously on posters, both in English and Spanish, prominently displayed in various locations throughout the facility.

All residents interviewed were aware of the zero-tolerance policy and methods of reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment available to them.

**Standard 115.234: Specialized training: Investigations**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.234 (a)**

- In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.231, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

**115.234 (b)**

- Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does this specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

**115.234 (c)**

- Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
115.234 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Based on CoreCivic policy 14-2 CC, page 7, section b-i, bullets 1-3, in addition to general training provided to all employees, CoreCivic ensures that facility investigators receive training on conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings. The training includes techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of the Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or referral for prosecution.

At this facility, there are three trained facility investigators. The Assistant Facility Administrator is the primary investigator and the Administrator and the QA Manager are the secondary investigators. Documentation provided for review showed all three investigators completed in September 2017, a 3-hour, National Institute of Corrections online course, *PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting*. Certificates of completion of this training is maintained by the facility. In interview of the Investigators, they knew their responsibilities in conducting sexual abuse investigations.

**Standard 115.235: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.235 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
• Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.235 (b)

• If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams.) ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

115.235 (c)

• Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.235 (d)

• Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.231?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

• Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.232? [N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.] ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

☒ Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Based on review of policy 14-2 CC, pages 7 & 8, section b-l, bullet 4, in addition to the general training provided to all employees, all full and part-time Qualified Health Care Professionals and Qualified Mental Health Professionals who work regularly in the facility, will receive specialized medical training. The facility does not employ mental health staff.

The Fort Worth Transitional Center has one Licensed Vocational Nurse (LVN) who completed the Specialized Training for Medical and Mental Health Professionals DVD on 3/23/16 and general PREA training on 8/2/2017. The specialized training included how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and how and to whom to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Upon completion of this training the LVN signed a Training Activity Enrollment/Attendance Roster (4-2A).
In interview with the Licensed Vocational Nurse, he confirmed completing specialized training and knew his PREA-related responsibilities as a medical professional.

### SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

**Standard 115.241: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard 115.241 (a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Are all residents assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Are all residents assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard 115.241 (b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard 115.241 (c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard 115.241 (d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The age of the resident? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The physical build of the resident? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously been incarcerated? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident’s criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the resident about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener’s perception whether the resident is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The resident’s own perception of vulnerability? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (e)

- In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (f)

- Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the resident’s arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the resident’s risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (g)

- Does the facility reassess a resident’s risk level when warranted due to a: Referral? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility reassess a resident’s risk level when warranted due to a: Request? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility reassess a resident’s risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Does the facility reassess a resident’s risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional information that bears on the resident’s risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?
☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (h)

Is it the case that residents are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section?
☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (i)

Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident’s detriment by staff or other residents?
☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Per policy 14-2 CC, pages 12 & 13, section H, upon admission to the Fort Worth Transitional Center or upon transfer to another facility, residents are screened for their risk of being sexually abused or sexually abusive towards others. CoreCivic’s Sexual Abuse Screening Tool (14-2 CC-B) is used for this purpose. The 14-2 CC-B form was reviewed and found to contain all requirements of 115.241 (a) of this standard. The screening considers prior acts of sexual abuse and prior convictions for violent offenses. The Client Monitor II or the Client Monitor III complete the 14-2 CC-B within 24 hours of a resident’s arrival to the facility. The screening form is then forwarded to the Intake Coordinator who reviews the forms and enters alerts in the Secure Management System and on a PREA Tracking document. In the past 12 months, 1060 residents were screened upon admission to the Fort Worth Transitional Center.

Within 30 days of the resident’s arrival to the facility, the Intake Coordinator using the 14-2 CC-B form rescreens the resident. The reassessment includes any additional relevant information received by the facility since the initial intake screening. Residents are also rescreened after being at the facility for one year. A resident’s risk level is also reassessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information. Residents may not be disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to questions asked. In review of resident files, two residents received an additional screening after the 30-day screening after new information was obtained.

The 14-2 CC-B forms are filed in the residents’ file and stored in the Records Room. To maintain confidentiality of screening information, the Facility Director, the Assistant Facility Director, the Operations Supervisor, the Intake Coordinator, the two Records Clerks and the Client Monitor II and III’s have access to the information.
In interview with the Client Monitor II’s and III’s, the Intake Coordinator and the PREA Compliance Manager and in review of random resident records, this process is in place and being followed. The record review showed that the facility is very timely in their screening process and found to exceed in the requirements of this standard.

**Standard 115.242: Use of screening information**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.242 (a)**

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.242 (b)**

- Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each resident? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.242 (c)**

- When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident’s health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the
resident’s health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.242 (d)

- Are each transgender or intersex resident’s own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.242 (e)

- Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.242 (f)

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Policy 14-2 CC, page 12, section H-1, addresses the use of the information obtained during the screening process. The agency uses the information from the risk screening form to make housing, bed, work, education and program assignments with the goal of separating residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from residents with those at high risk of being sexually abusive. Individualized determinations are made about how to ensure the safety of each resident.
In interview with the Facility Administrator, the PREA Compliance Manager and the Intake Coordinator, they explained how the facility utilizes information from the 14-2 CC-B form. Residents that score to be at risk of victimization are assigned to Rooms. Residents who score at risk for victimization or abusiveness are not housed together and are tracked on the FWTC PREA Housing Status log. Guidelines on housing and program assignments for the management of transgender and intersex residents are outlined in policy 14-2 CC, page 14, section J-1. Transgender and intersex residents are reassessed at least twice per year to review any threats to safety experienced by the resident as required by this standard and takes into consideration their own views regarding their own safety. Placement is made on a case-by-case basis to ensure the health and safety of the resident. Transgender and intersex residents are given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents as stated in policy 14-2 CC, page 15, section K-6. Transgender and intersex residents would be allowed to shower in vacant room upon request.

The agency does not place lesbian, gay bisexual, transgender or intersex residents in dedicated facilities, units or wings solely based on such identification. At the time of the audit, there were two residents who self-disclosed being transgender. They stated in interview that they were not housed any differently because of their sexual orientation.

REPORTING

Standard 115.251: Resident reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.251 (a)

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.251 (b)

- Does the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.251 (c)
- Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.251 (d)

- Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☐ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

CoreCivic policy 14-2 CC, pages 15 & 16, section L-1, outlines the procedures for resident reporting of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation by other residents or staff or staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. Residents can report verbally to any staff member, write a letter to the Facility Director or any other employee or to the agency PREA Coordinator. Residents are given addresses and telephone numbers to the PREA Ombudsman Office (936-437-2133), the TDCJ Ombudsman Coordinator (936-437-8035), TDCJ Region 5 Office (817-640-0657), TDCJ Program Monitoring Office (512-671-2500), the Rape Crisis Line (972-641-7273), or local law enforcement (911). Page 17, section 3 of the policy 14-2 CC, outlines a method of anonymous reporting. Third party reporting methods can be found on the CoreCivic website.

Residents are made aware of methods of reporting available to them on pages 6 & 7 of the *Client Handbook*, in brochures provided to them and continuously through posters displayed throughout the facility. Residents interviewed were aware of methods available to them to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents.

Staff must take all allegations of sexual abuse seriously whether they be made verbally, in writing, anonymously and from third parties and are required to document all reports. Employees may privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents by forwarding a letter, sealed and marked "confidential" to the Facility Administrator or contact the CoreCivic's Ethics and Compliance Hotline. Staff interviewed were aware of their method of privately reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents.

The facility provides multiple ways for residents to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to a public or private entity that is not a part of the agency or the facility and therefore were found to exceed in the requirements of this standard.

**Standard 115.252: Exhaustion of administrative remedies**
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.252 (a)

- Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.252 (b)

- Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- Does the agency always refrain from requiring a resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.252 (c)

- Does the agency ensure that: A resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.252 (d)

- Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- If the agency determines that the 90-day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time [the maximum allowable extension of time to respond is 70 days per 115.252(d)(3)], does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
115.252 (e)

- Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident’s decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

115.252 (f)

- Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency’s determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- Does the agency’s final decision document the agency’s action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA
• If the agency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The Fort Worth Transitional Center does not have an administrative procedure for addressing residents’ grievances regarding sexual abuse. All PREA allegations received as a grievance are submitted to the Facility Director who assigns a facility investigator for immediate initiation of the PREA protocol. In the past 12 months, the facility has not received any grievances alleging sexual abuse.

Standard 115.253: Resident access to outside confidential support services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.253 (a)

• Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.253 (b)

• Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.253 (c)

• Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
• Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

CoreCivic policy 14-2 CC, page 10, section F-1-3, outlines the agency's policy on providing residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse. Residents are given mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers of local, state or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations.

This information is provided to residents on posters displayed throughout the facility, in the Client Handbook and in Preventing Sexual Abuse and Misconduct brochure (14.2 CC-AA). Residents are informed prior to giving them access, of the extent to which communications will be monitored and to the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities. Information for requesting confidential emotional support and victim advocacy services from the Women Center of Tarrant County (817-927-2737) and the National Sexual Assault Hotline (1-800-656-4673) is provided to residents.

Residents interviewed were aware of the emotional support services available to them.

**Standard 115.254: Third-party reporting**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.254 (a)

• Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a resident? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*
The agency has a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Family members or other individuals may report verbally or in writing to the PREA Coordinator or to the Facility Administrator. Per CoreCivic policy 14-2 CC, page 17, section L-4, information for third party reporting is made available on the CoreCivic website with instructions for outside parties to contact the National Sexual Assault Hotline at 1-800-656-4673 or send a letter to the Facility Administrator.

Residents are made aware of this method of reporting in the in the Client Handbook, in Preventing Sexual Abuse and Misconduct brochure (14.2 CC-AA) and the TDCJ PREA Ombudsman Office brochure. Residents interviewed were knowledgeable of this method of reporting. During the past 12 months, there no third-party reports received.

### OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING A RESIDENT REPORT

#### Standard 115.261: Staff and agency reporting duties

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

**115.261 (a)**

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.261 (b)**

- Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, do staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.261 (c)**

- Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of the practitioner’s duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.261 (d)

If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.261 (e)

Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility’s designated investigators? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

The agency/facility policy 14-2 CC on staff reporting duties was found on pages 16 & 17 section L-2. Staff must take all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment seriously. All staff are required to report immediately to the PREA Compliance Manager any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and any retaliation against residents or staff who reported such an incident. All allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third party and anonymous reports, are reported to the Administrator, the PREA Compliance Manager, the PREA Coordinator and the facility's investigators. When allegations of sexual abuse or resident sexual misconduct is received, notifications are be made to the Fort Worth Police Department.

Staff are also required to report, according to policy, any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. Interview with staff revealed that staff is very knowledgeable of their responsibilities to report incidents of sexual abuse or harassment and know not to reveal any information about a sexual abuse incident to anyone other than to the extent necessary. Staff who fail to report allegations may be subject to disciplinary action.

Per policy the LVN is required to report any allegations received and she is aware that at the initiation of providing medical services he will inform the resident of her professional duty to report and the limitations of confidentiality. The facility does not employ mental health staff.

The Fort Worth Transitional Center houses adult male and female residents only, none of whom according to their classified level of care are considered vulnerable adults under the Texas State Vulnerable Persons Statue; therefore, subsection 115.261 (d) is not applicable to this facility.

When interviewed, all staff knew their responsibilities of reporting and whom to report to.
**Standard 115.262: Agency protection duties**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.262 (a)

- When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it takes immediate action to protect the resident. Policy 14-2 CC, page 1, paragraph 2 and page 17, section 2-c requires that when it is learned that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, immediate action shall be taken to protect the resident.

In interview with the Facility Administrator, there were no times during the past 12 months that it was necessary for the agency to take immediate action in regards to a resident being in substantial risk of sexual abuse. He stated that he would protect the resident by removing him from the area and investigate if needed. Staff interviewed were aware of their responsibilities if they felt a resident was at risk for sexual abuse.

**Standard 115.263: Reporting to other confinement facilities**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.263 (a)

- Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.263 (b)

- Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.263 (c)

- Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No
115.263 (d)

- Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

CoreCivic policy 14-2 CC, page 19, section M-3 was used to verify compliance to this standard. Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the Facility Administrator shall notify the head of the facility where the sexual abuse was alleged to have occurred and document that notification was provided. This notification is to occur as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours of receiving the allegation. If the allegation was reported and investigated at the facility where the sexual abuse was alleged to occur, the Facility Administrator is to document such and no further investigation or notification is necessary. If the allegation was not reported or not investigated, a copy of the resident's statement and any other details obtained from contact with the facility where the alleged abuse took place and the facility's response is documented. If an allegation is received from another facility, the Facility Administrator will ensure that the allegation is investigated according to PREA standards.

In the past 12 months, there was one allegation received that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility. Notification was made to the other facility and documentation of this notification was provided for review. There were no allegations of sexual abuse received from other facilities that were alleged to have occurred at the Fort Worth Transitional Center. Upon interview, the Facility Administrator was aware of his responsibilities of reporting if allegations are received.

Standard 115.264: Staff first responder duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.264 (a)

- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No
• Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.264 (b)

• If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

CoreCivic policy 14-2 CC, page 18, section M-1 & 2-a, outlines the procedure for first responders to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment whether that person is a security or non-security staff member. Per policy, upon learning of an allegation of sexual abuse, the first staff member to respond to keep the alleged victim safe with no contact with the alleged perpetrator and immediately escorted to a private area and ensure that the crime scene is preserved. If the abuse was alleged to have occurred within a time frame that allows for the collection of physical evidence, staff shall ensure that the victim does not wash, shower, toilet, eat, drink or brush his teeth.

Policy mandates that if the first responder to an allegation of sexual abuse is a non-security staff member, they shall advise the alleged victim not to take any actions that could destroy physical evidence and then notify security staff immediately. Staff are instructed to assess any immediate medical needs and call 911 if necessary. Members of the SART are notified to report to the facility. Notification is to be made to the Facility Administrator and the PREA Compliance Manager. The Facility Administrator will notify the agency PREA Coordinator. All allegations of sexual abuse or resident sexual misconduct are reported to the Fort Worth Police Department. Attachment 14-2 CC-C of policy 14-2 CC, Sexual Abuse Incident Check Sheet, is used to ensure that all notifications and steps of the required procedure are carried out.

All staff carry with them a First Responder Card that highlights their responsibilities in response to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Staff interviews with security and non-security staff revealed that they knew the policy and practice to follow if they were the first responder to an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. They reported that they knew that the alleged victim and abuser must be separated, knew how to preserve the crime scene and the physical evidence and report to their
In the past 12 months, there was one allegation of sexual abuse that was first responded to by a security staff member.

**Standard 115.265: Coordinated response**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.265 (a)

- Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

☒ Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Policy 14-2 CC, pages 10-12, section G and pages 18-23, sections M, N & outline the agency's/facility's coordinated response to an incident of sexual abuse. A Sexual Abuse Response Team (SART) is established at the Fort Worth Transitional Center that includes the Facility Administrator, the Assistant Facility Administrator/PREA Compliance Manager, the Operations Supervisor, the QA Manager, the Client Monitor III and the LVN. The responsibilities of the team are to respond to reported incidents of sexual abuse, review the facility's response to sexual abuse allegations, serve as a primary liaison with local law enforcement, ensure completion of the 14-2 CC-C, *Sexual Abuse Incident Checklist* and ensure that 30/60/90-day monitoring is conducted. When interviewed, members of the SART knew their responsibilities in response to sexual abuse allegations. In review of investigative files, 14-2 CC-C forms are filed in the corresponding investigative file.

**Standard 115.266: Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.266 (a)

- Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency’s behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.266 (b)
- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Exceeds Standard <em>(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>Meets Standard <em>(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does Not Meet Standard <em>(Requires Corrective Action)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CoreCivic policy 14-2 CC, page 26, section 2-d, was used to verify compliance to this standard. Employees are subject to disciplinary sanctions up to termination for violating CoreCivic’s policies on sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Since the last PREA audit, CoreCivic has not entered into or renewed any collective bargaining agreement that limits the agency’s ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with residents pending the outcome of an investigation. There are no restrictions to keep the agency from removing an alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with residents pending the outcome of an investigation.

In interview with the Executive Vice President and Chief Corrections Officer on 10/4/16, any agreements that CoreCivic enters in to would not limit the agency from removing alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with residents pending the outcome of an investigation and not disciplining employees up to and including termination. In interview with the Facility Administrator, he confirmed this statement.

---

### Standard 115.267: Agency protection against retaliation

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.267 (a)

- Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

#### 115.267 (b)

- Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

#### 115.267 (c)
Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any resident disciplinary reports? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident housing changes? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident program changes? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.267 (d)

In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.267 (e)

If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.267 (f)
Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

CoreCivic has as policy to protect residents who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff as outlined on page 11, section 3, a-iv - vi. The agency has multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for residents, victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims and emotional support services for residents or staff that fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations.

The PREA Compliance Manager is responsible for monitoring for retaliation. Monitoring shall be documented on the 14-2 CC-D, *PREA Retaliation Monitoring Report* form. Monitoring is required 30/60/90 days following an allegation and can continue beyond 90 days if there is a continuing need. If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation are put in place.

In the past 12 months, there were five allegations of resident-on-resident sexual abuse reported. Retaliation monitoring was conducted and filed in the corresponding investigative file. When interviewed, the PREA Compliance Manager knew her responsibilities for monitoring for retaliation per policy and this standard. She stated that she would meet with the victim upon receipt of the allegation and continue monthly monitoring for up to 90 days or longer if warranted.

**INVESTIGATIONS**

**Standard 115.271: Criminal and administrative agency investigations**

*All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report*

115.271 (a)

- When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of
criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).]
☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

115.271 (b)

- Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.234? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.271 (c)

- Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.271 (d)

- When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.271 (e)

- Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual’s status as resident or staff? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.271 (f)

- Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.271 (g)
- Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (h)

- Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (i)

- Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.271(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (j)

- Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (k)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.271 (l)

- When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? [N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☒ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

The agency/facility conducts an investigation immediately when notified of an allegation of sexual abuse and sexual harassment including third party and anonymous reports. The three trained facility investigators are responsible for conducting administrative investigations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment at the Fort Worth Transitional Center.

The agency’s policy on administrative and criminal investigations is outlined in CoreCivic policy 14-2 CC, pages 21 & 22, section O. The administrative investigation shall include an effort to determine whether staff
actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse. The administrative investigation shall be documented in a written report.

All allegations of sexual abuse resident sexual misconduct are referred to the Fort Worth Police Department who conduct investigations pursuant to the requirements of this standard. The facility shall cooperate with outside investigators and remain informed of the progress of the investigation through communication with outside investigators. A criminal investigation shall be documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial and documentary evidence. In the past 12 months, there was one allegation of resident-on-resident sexual abuse referred to the Fort Worth Police Department for criminal investigation.

The credibility of an alleged victim, suspect or witness is assessed on an individual basis and is not determined by the person's status as resident or staff. A resident who alleges sexual abuse is not required to submit to a polygraph examination.

CoreCivic retains all written reports pertaining to administrative investigations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or staff member is employed by the agency, plus five years as required by the CoreCivic Retention Schedule (1-15 B). When interviewed, the facility investigators knew their responsibilities in the conduct of administrative investigations and referral of allegations of sexual abuse to the Fort Worth Police Department.

Standard 115.272: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.272 (a)

- Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Based on CoreCivic policy 14-2 CC, page 23, section O-5, the agency shall impose no standard higher than the preponderance of evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. When the facility investigators responsible for administrative investigations were asked what standard of evidence was used in determining if an allegation is substantiated, they confirmed the agency’s policy.

Standard 115.273: Reporting to residents
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.273 (a)

- Following an investigation into a resident’s allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.273 (b)

- If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident’s allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.273 (c)

- Following a resident’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident’s unit? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following a resident’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following a resident’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following a resident’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.273 (d)

- Following a resident’s allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following a resident’s allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the
alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.273 (e)

 Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.273 (f)

 Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Policy 14-2 CC, pages 23 & 24, section Q, was used to verify compliance to this standard. The policy indicates that following an investigation of sexual abuse of a resident, the resident shall be informed as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded. If the facility did not conduct the investigation, the facility shall request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident. The policy further states that following a resident's allegation that an employee has committed sexual abuse against the resident; the facility is required to inform the resident of the outcome of the investigation, unless the facility has determined that the allegation was unfounded. Following a resident's allegation that another resident sexually abused him, the agency shall inform the resident of the outcome of the investigation.

All resident notifications or attempted notifications shall be documented on the 14-2 CC-E, *Inmate/Resident Allegation Status Notification* form. The resident shall sign the 14-2 CC-E and the form is to be filed in the corresponding investigative file. The facility's obligation to notify the resident shall terminate if the resident is released from custody.

In the past 12 months, there was one *Inmate/Resident Allegation Status Notification* form prepared, but not presented because the resident departed from the facility before the conclusion of the investigation. Three 14-2 CC-E forms were presented to residents who reported allegations. The 14-2 CC-E forms were filed in the corresponding investigative file. When interviewed the PREA Compliance Manager knew her responsibilities of providing residents with 14-2 CC-E forms at the conclusion of the outcome of an investigation. In the past 12 months, there were no allegations received that involved staff.

---

**DISCIPLINE**

**Standard 115.276: Disciplinary sanctions for staff**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.276 (a)

- Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.276 (b)

- Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.276 (c)

- Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.276 (d)

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Staff shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse policy as outlined in policy 14-2 CC, page 25, section R-2-a-c. Termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse. Disciplinary sanctions for violation of agency policies related to sexual abuse or sexual harassment shall commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history and other sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.

All terminations for violations of the agency's policies on sexual abuse and sexual harassment, or resignation, shall be reported to law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. In the past 12 months, no staff has been disciplined or terminated for violating the agency's sexual abuse or sexual harassment policy.
Standard 115.277: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.277 (a)

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.277 (b)

- In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Based on review of policy 14-2 CC, page 26, section 3, any volunteer or contractor who engages in sexual abuse is prohibited from contact with residents and shall be reported to law enforcement agencies or licensing boards, unless the activity was clearly not criminal. Any other violation of CoreCivic sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a volunteer or contractor will result in further prohibitions.

In interview with the Facility Administrator and documentation provided by the facility, in the past 12 months the Fort Worth Transitional Center has not received any reports of sexual abuse of residents by the volunteer. The Facility Administrator stated that if the volunteer violated the agency’s zero-tolerance policy, he would no longer be allowed access to the facility.

Standard 115.278: Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.278 (a)
Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, are residents subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.278 (b)

Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.278 (c)

When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident’s mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (d)

If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending resident to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.278 (e)

Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.278 (f)

For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.278 (g)

Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Per policy 14-2 CC, pages 24 & 25, section R-1, residents will be subject to disciplinary sanctions following an administrative finding that the resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse. Sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident’s disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories. The disciplinary process considers whether a resident’s mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his behavior in determining what type of sanction, if any should be imposed.

A resident may be disciplined for sexual conduct with an employee only upon a finding that the employee did not consent to such conduct. Residents who allege false claims of sexual abuse can be disciplined. A report of sexual abuse made in good faith based on a reasonable belief that the alleged contact occurred does not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if the investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation.

Residents receive a *Client Handbook* when they arrive at the Fort Worth Transitional Center and sign a *Fort Worth Transitional Center Acknowledgement of Rules and Regulations* form acknowledging receipt of the *Client Handbook*. Residents are informed that sexual misconduct is a violation against the facility’s rules and regulations and describes what constitutes sexual misconduct on page 36 of the *Client Handbook*. Any violations would result in the resident’s removal from the program.

In the past 12 months, there have been no substantiated allegations of sexually related misconduct by residents.

### MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

**Standard 115.282: Access to emergency medical and mental health services**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.282 (a)

- Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?
  - Yes ☒  No ☐

115.282 (b)

- If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.262?  Yes ☒  No ☐
  - Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?  Yes ☒  No ☐
115.282 (c)

- Are resident victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.282 (d)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

According to policy 14-2 CC, page 19, section 4-e, the facility will ensure that medical and mental health referrals are completed. Resident victims of sexual abuse will receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. All services are determined by the medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgement. Mental health services are not provided on-site. Referrals for mental health services are made to MHMR.

The facility continues in its efforts to secure an MOU with the John Peter Smith Hospital. Per agreement with the John Peter Smith Hospital, resident victims of sexual abuse are provided forensic examinations. Victims are offered information and treatment for sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis. All services are provided without cost to the victim and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

In the past 12 months, there have been no sexual abuse cases requiring emergency medical or mental health services.

**Standard 115.283: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.283 (a)

- Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.283 (b)
Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.283 (c)

Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.283 (d)

Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if all-male facility.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.283 (e)

If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.283(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if all-male facility.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.283 (f)

Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.283 (g)

Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.283 (h)

Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
The Fort Worth Transitional Center will offer ongoing medical and mental health care to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse. The evaluation will include follow-up services, treatment plans and referrals for continued care consistent with the community level of care upon their release from the facility when necessary. The facility attempts to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offers treatment when deemed appropriate.

Female victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated are offered pregnancy tests. If pregnancy results from sexual abuse, victims received timely and comprehensive information about timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related infections as medically appropriate. Resident victims of sexual abuse are offered treatment for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate.

Ongoing medical services are provided at the John Peter Smith Hospital Clinic.

In the past 12 months, there were no residents who required ongoing medical or mental health treatment due to sexual abuse.

**DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW**

**Standard 115.286: Sexual abuse incident reviews**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.286 (a)

- Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.286 (b)

- Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.286 (c)

- Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.286 (d)

- Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.286(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.286 (e)

- Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Based on policy 14-2 CC, pages 20-21, section N, the Facility Administrator will ensure that a post investigation review of a sexual abuse incident is conducted within 30 days of the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, unless the allegation was determined to be unfounded. The SART are responsible for conducting incident reviews. Per policy, the review team will consider requirements of 115.286 (d) of this standard when reviewing an incident of sexual abuse. All findings and recommendations for improvement will be documented on the 14-2 CC-F, Sexual Abuse or Sexual Assault Incident Review Form, and completed forms will be forwarded to the PREA Coordinator. The facility will implement the recommendations for improvement or will document reasons for not doing so.

In the past 12 months, incident reviews were conducted on all four closed investigations of resident-on-resident sexual abuse. The 14-2 CC-F forms were, filed in the corresponding investigative file. When interviewed, members of the SART knew their responsibilities as they relate to the review of sexual abuse incidents.

Standard 115.287: Data collection

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.287 (a)
- Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.287 (b)**

- Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.287 (c)**

- Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.287 (d)**

- Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.287 (e)**

- Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

**115.287 (f)**

- Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- ☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
- ☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Information on data collection is found on page 27, section T-1 and section 2-a & b of CoreCivic policy 14-2 CC. CoreCivic collects uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at all facility under their control. The data collected, will be at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice (DOJ).

The facility will ensure that incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are reported on the *Incident Tracking Form*. At least annually, the PREA Coordinator aggregates this data. Upon request, or no later than June 30th, the agency provides aggregated data information for the previous calendar year to DOJ.
The PREA Coordinator prepares an Annual PREA Report summarizing the aggregated data from all of their facilities.

Element (e) of this standard is not applicable to this facility. The agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.

**Standard 115.288: Data review for corrective action**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

115.288 (a)

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.288 (b)

- Does the agency’s annual report include a comparison of the current year’s data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency’s progress in addressing sexual abuse ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.288 (c)

- Is the agency’s annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.288 (d)

- Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☒ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
Based on policy 14-2 CC, page 27, section 3, and on interview with the PREA Coordinator, the agency reviews all of the data collected from all of its facilities and aggregates that data annually to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies, practices and training. The PREA Coordinator prepares an annual report that provides the problem areas and corrective actions for each facility and as the agency as a whole.

The PREA Coordinator forwards the annual report to the Chief of Corrections Officer for approval. The report is then made public on the CoreCivic website and can be accessed at [http://corecivic.com/security-operations/prea](http://corecivic.com/security-operations/prea). Before making aggregated sexual abuse data public, all personal identifiers are redacted. The most current annual report, prepared by the PREA Coordinator for 2016 data, was very well written with easy to read tables according to the type of allegations and the investigative findings as well as a narrative overview of this information.

### Standard 115.289: Data storage, publication, and destruction

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.289 (a)
- Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.287 are securely retained?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

#### 115.289 (b)
- Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

#### 115.289 (c)
- Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

#### 115.289 (d)
- Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.287 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

According to policy 14-2 CC, page 27, section T-1-a-iv and section T-2-c, the agency ensures that the data collected is securely retained. According to the CoreCivic Retention Schedule (1-15-B), the entire PREA packet including aggregated sexual abuse data is retained for 10 years.

CoreCivic makes all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control readily available to the public annually on their website at http://corecivic.com/security-operations/prea. Before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, all personal identifiers are redacted.

AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.401 (a)

- During the three-year period starting on August 20, 2013, and during each three-year period thereafter, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (N/A before August 20, 2016.)  
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

115.401 (b)

- During each one-year period starting on August 20, 2013, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited?  
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.401 (h)

- Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?  
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.401 (l)

- Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?  
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.401 (m)

- Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?  
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No
115.401 (n)

- Were residents permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Based on policy 14-2 CC, page 28, section U-2-a, CoreCivic ensures that an external audit of all of its facilities is conducted every three years to ensure compliance with the agency’s policy, the PREA National Standards and federal law and regulations. The FSC Quality Assurance Department in conjunction with the PREA Coordinator ensures that the external audit is conducted by a DOJ Certified PREA Auditor.

According to the agency’s PREA Coordinator, during the three-year period beginning on August 20, 2013, CoreCivic ensured that each of its facilities were audited at least once and continues to ensure that its facilities are audited every three years.

The initial PREA audit of the Fort Worth Transitional Center was conducted May 24-25, 2016 by a DOJ Certified PREA Auditor. In compliance with the agency policy and the PREA National Standards, this audit, within the next three-year audit cycle, was conducted by this DOJ Certified PREA Auditor.

During the audit I was allowed access to all areas of the Fort Worth Transitional Center. I was permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documentation, including information that was stored electronically. I was permitted to conduct private interviews with residents ensuring confidentiality to our conversation.

Residents were notified 60 days before the audit on posted facility notices in both English and Spanish that they could send confidential correspondence that would be handled like legal mail to me and were given my name and mailing address. I received a letter from a resident of the Fort Worth Transitional Center following the on-site visit.

Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.403 (f)

- The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports within 90 days of issuance by auditor. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AGENCY AUDIT. In the
case of single facility agencies, the auditor shall ensure that the facility’s last audit report was published. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Based on policy 14.2 CC page 28, section 2-b-ii-iv, each audit includes a certification by the auditor that no conflict of interest exists with respect to his/her ability to conduct a CoreCivic audit. No audit may be conducted by an auditor who has received financial compensation from CoreCivic within the three years prior to CoreCivic retaining the auditor. CoreCivic will not employ with or otherwise financially compensate the auditor for three years subsequent to CoreCivic’s retention of the auditor, with the exception of contracting for subsequent PREA audits.

I certify by my signature in the Auditor’s Certification section of this report that no conflict of interest exists with my ability to conduct this audit.

According to policy 14-2 CC, page 29, section d, audit reports shall state whether company-wide policies and procedures comply with relevant PREA National Standards. In thorough review of CoreCivic’s *Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response* policy (14-2 CC), the policy was found to be well written and comprehensive complying with the PREA National Standards.

For each standard I made a determination of a finding of Exceeds Standard, Meets Standard or Does Not Meet Standard. See page 7 for a summary of the findings for each of the standards.

My report describes the methodology, sampling sizes and basis for my conclusions as required. I have redacted any personal identifiable resident or employee information, but I can provide such information to CoreCivic or the Department of Justice upon request.

Per agency policy and standard requirements, CoreCivic ensures that this final report will be published on their website at [http://corecivic.com/security-operations/prea](http://corecivic.com/security-operations/prea).
AUDITOR CERTIFICATION

I certify that:

☒ The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.

☒ No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and

☒ I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any resident or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Barbara Jo Denison ___________________________  December 20, 2017
Auditor Signature  Date